UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/551,537	09/14/2005	Hans Vondracek	103020.59950US	4431
	23911 7590 09/25/2009 CROWELL & MORING LLP EXAMINER			
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP			YANG, JIE	
P.O. BOX 14300 WASHINGTON, DC 20044-4300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1793	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/25/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/551,537	VONDRACEK ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	JIE YANG	1793	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	E DATE OF THIS COMMUN R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO atute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28	his action is non-final. wance except for formal materials		
Disposition of Claims			
4)	drawn from consideration.  4 is/are rejected.		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cort 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeya rection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in a priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No  n received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application 	

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 7/28/2009 has been entered.

### Status of the Claims

Regarding the Applicant's argument related to the restriction/election, the argument is persuasive and claims 26-44 rejoin in the examination.

Claims 8, 19, 20, 22, 23, and 29 have been cancelled; claims 1-6, 10, 17, 18, 24, 25, 26, 28, 31-34, 36, 37, and 41 have been amended; and claims 1-7, 9-18, 21, 24-28, and 30-44 are pending in application.

## Claim Objections

Claim 10 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. For instant case, claim 10 depends on a cancelled claim.

Art Unit: 1793

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-7, 9-13, 15-18, 21, 24-28, and 30-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bilgen et al (DE 19839383 used hereinafter with English equivalent US 6,458226, thereafter US'226) in view of Hathaway (US 2,261,878, thereafter US'878) and Fritz et al (NPL "Fertibungs Technik" (Manufacturing Technology) 1995, and English translation for Fig.5-24 and 5-26, thereafter NPL-1).

Regarding claims 1, 25, and 26, US'226 teaches a process for the thermomechanical treatment of steel for torsionally strained spring elements, comprising the inductive heating of a starting material, particularly spring steel, at a rate between 80-150K/s to a temperature between 900°C to 1200°C (e.g. a temperature above the recrystallization temperature of the initial material), austenitizing, holding the temperature for a short time, forming the material into a formed product at a temperature above the recrystallization temperature, quenching to martensite and tempering (Abstract, Summary of the invention, Col.3, lines 31-59, and claims of US'226), which read on the

Application/Control Number: 10/551,537

Art Unit: 1793

heating above recrystallization temperature, equalizing the heating, deforming, hardening, and tempering steps of the instant claims. US'226 teaches the material is formed in at least one forming step (abstract of US'226), which covers the single forming step as recited in the instant claims. US'226 does not specify the detail on forming process. US'878 teaches steps of rolling and winding to form a coil spring (Fig.1-2 of US'878). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the rolling and winding processes as demonstrated in US'878 in the process of US'226 in order to deform the rod starting material to produce steel coil (claims 1-3 of US'878).

Still regarding claims 1, 25, and 26, US'226 in view of US'878 does not specify the limitation of skew rolling. However, skew rolling is a well-known rolling method which is evidenced by NPL-1 (as an Applicants administrated prior art-refer to the "Applicant arguments/remarks made in an amendment" filed on 1/8/2009; the Examiner notes that the Applicants provide the word explanation: phrase "skew rolling" is an English translation of German word "Schragwalzen" and in NPL-1, this phrase is translated as "cross rolling"). NPL-1 teaches skew rolling for the tubes and/or rods (English translation for Fig. 5-24 and 5-26 of NPL-1). Therefore, it would have been obvious

Application/Control Number: 10/551,537

Art Unit: 1793

to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the well-known skew-rolling method as evidenced by NPL-1 in the process of US'226 in view of US'878 in order to obtain desired roughness of the product (English translation for Fig. 5-24 of NPL-1).

Regarding claims 2-4 and 32-34, US'226 teaches inducting heating at a rate between 80-150K/s to a temperature between 900°C to 1200°C (Col.2, lines 48-52 of US'226), which reads on the inductively heating as recited in the instant claims 4 and 34; overlaps the range of 100-400K/s as recited in the instant claims 2 and 32; and overlaps the range of 700°C to 1100°C as recited in the instant claims 3 and 33.

Regarding claims 5 and 35, US'226 teaches austenitizing and holding the temperature for a short time, for example, less than one minute (Col.2, lines 63-67 of US'226), which overlaps the equalization heating time period range at least 10 seconds as recited in the instant claims.

Regarding claims 6, 7, 36 and 37, US'226 in view of 878 and NPL-1 teaches the same inductive heating the same steel with the similar temperature and holding time period as recited in the instant invention, the similar heating effect, for example, an uniform temperature over the length and keeping the temperature

Application/Control Number: 10/551,537

Art Unit: 1793

constant prior to deforming would be highly expected in the process of US'226 in view of 878 and NPL-1. MPEP 2112.01.

Regarding the limitations of average degree of stretching for skew rolling (claims 9 and 30), maximum deformation area (claims 10 and 31), temperature and temperature dropping of skew rolling (claims 11, 15, 17, 37, 38, and 39), direction of the twisting (claims 12, 13, 27, and 28), and the dimension of skew rolling stand (claims 16 and 40), are recognized as resulteffective variables in term of result of skew rolling technique. This position is supported by NPL-1. NPL-1 teaches the setting of skew rolling (Fig. 5-24 to 5-26 of NPL-1) and the adjusting of the process parameters (English translation of NPL-1). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to optimize the result-effective variables for the skew rolling as demonstrated by NPL-1 in the process of US'226 in view of 878 in order to obtain the desired smooth surface (English translation of NPL-1).

Regarding claims 21, 24, 42, 43, and 44, US'878 teaches steps of rolling and winding to form a coil spring (Fig.1-2 of US'878). The spring alloy taught by US'226 in view of US'878 includes silicon-chromium steel (instant claim 43) and microalloyed steel (instant claim 44).

Application/Control Number: 10/551,537 Page 7

Art Unit: 1793

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 14 is still objected to as depending from a rejected independent claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 7/28/2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Regarding the arguments related to the amended features, the Examiner's position is stated as above.

The Applicant argues that claimed technique--skew rolling coordinated with a particular pattern of heat treatment may obtain the desired twisting and maximum deformation with a desired deformation gradient and a desired crystal structure, which is not taught or suggested by the recorded prior arts. In response, The Examiner notes that the limitation of performing a gradient deformation to lead to structural distribution is only included in the instant dependent claim 14. US'226 in view of US'878 and NPL-1 teaches the process of thermomechanical treatment of steel for torsionally strained spring elements, for example skew rolling plus hardening and tempering as recited in the instant dependent claims 1, 25, and 26. The detail discussions and the motivation for combining the prior art can refer to the rejections for the instant claims stated above.

#### Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/551,537 Page 8

Art Unit: 1793

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jie Yang whose telephone number is 571-2701884.

The examiner can normally be reached on IFP.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on 571-2721244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JY

/Roy King/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1793